

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 24-7035

September Term, 2024

1:23-cv-03569-UNA

Filed On: October 15, 2024

Stephanie Mykonos,

Appellant

v.

Axinn, Veltrop & Harkrider, LLP, et al,

Appellee

**ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

BEFORE: Millett, Pillard, and Pan, Circuit Judges

J U D G M E N T

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the brief and notices filed by appellant. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). It is

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the district court’s January 22, 2024, denial of leave to file a motion be affirmed. The district court did not abuse its discretion in denying leave to file a document after the case was remanded to the Superior Court, as the district court no longer had jurisdiction over the case. See Berry v. District of Columbia, 833 F.2d 1031, 1037 n.24 (D.C. Cir. 1987) (noting “[a] trial court’s decisions with respect to the management of its docket are normally entitled to deference”). To the extent appellant seeks review of the district court’s December 20, 2023, remand order, this court lacks jurisdiction to review that order. See 28 U.S.C. § 1447(d).

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. See Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

Per Curiam

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 24-7035

September Term, 2024

FOR THE COURT:
Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY: /s/
Daniel J. Reidy
Deputy Clerk