Hnited States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 24-7083

September Term, 2024

1:24-cv-00353-UNA

Filed On: September 4, 2024

Matthew Jones,

Appellant

٧.

Central District Station,

Appellee

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

BEFORE: Katsas, Pan, and Garcia, Circuit Judges

JUDGMENT

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the brief filed by appellant. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). It is

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the district court's order dated April 25, 2024, be affirmed. The district court properly dismissed the case as frivolous because the complaint's allegations lacked an arguable basis in law or fact. <u>See Neitzke v. Williams</u>, 490 U.S. 319, 325 (1989); <u>see also</u> 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(i) (providing that "the court shall dismiss the case at any time if the court determines that . . . the action or appeal . . . is frivolous or malicious").

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution

United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 24-7083

September Term, 2024

of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. <u>See</u> Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

Per Curiam

FOR THE COURT: Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY: /s/

Daniel J. Reidy Deputy Clerk