# **Hnited States** Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

### No. 22-5272

## September Term, 2022

1:21-cv-01430-TSC

Filed On: January 3, 2023

Lorraine Bond,

Appellant

v.

Office of the Attorney General, of the United States, et al.,

Appellees

#### ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

**BEFORE:** Walker and Childs, Circuit Judges, and Sentelle, Senior Circuit Judge

### <u>JUDGMENT</u>

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the brief filed by the appellant, which the court construes as including a request for initial hearing en banc. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). It is

**ORDERED** that the request for initial hearing en banc be denied. <u>See</u> Fed. R. App. P. 35(a). It is

**FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED** that the district court's order filed September 22, 2022 be affirmed. Appellant has not shown that she served any of the defendants and has not shown good cause for failing to do so. <u>See Morrissey v.</u> <u>Mayorkas</u>, 17 F.4th 1150, 1157-58 (D.C. Cir. 2021). Accordingly, the district court did not abuse its discretion in dismissing appellant's case. <u>See Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m)</u>.

# United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

## No. 22-5272

## September Term, 2022

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. <u>See</u> Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

### Per Curiam

# FOR THE COURT:

Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY: /s/

Daniel J. Reidy Deputy Clerk