

United States Court of Appeals  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 20-5293

September Term, 2020

1:20-cv-00197-EGS

Filed On: February 17, 2021

Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-Day Saints,

Appellee

Xiu Jian Sun, The Spiritual Adam,

Appellant

v.

Rudolph Contreras,

Appellee

**ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

**BEFORE:** Katsas and Walker, Circuit Judges, and Sentelle, Senior Circuit  
Judge

**J U D G M E N T**

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the brief filed by appellant. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). It is

**ORDERED AND ADJUDGED** that the district court's order filed July 16, 2020 be affirmed. Appellant has shown no error in the district court's dismissal of the case for lack of subject matter jurisdiction and on the ground that the complaint failed to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. See, e.g., Ashcroft v. Iqbal, 556 U.S. 662, 678 (2009) ("To survive a motion to dismiss, a complaint must contain sufficient factual matter, accepted as true, to 'state a claim to relief that is plausible on its face.'") (quoting Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly, 550 U.S. 544, 570 (2007)); Tooley v. Napolitano, 586 F.3d 1006, 1009 (D.C. Cir. 2009) ("A complaint may be dismissed on jurisdictional grounds when it is patently insubstantial, presenting no federal question suitable for decision.") (internal quotation marks omitted).

# United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 20-5293

September Term, 2020

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. See Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

## Per Curiam

**FOR THE COURT:**

Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY: /s/  
Daniel J. Reidy  
Deputy Clerk