

# United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

**No. 19-5309**

**September Term, 2019**

**1:19-cv-02502-UNA**

**Filed On:** March 17, 2020

Grant Anderson, Jr.,

Appellant

v.

United States of America,

Appellee

## **ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

**BEFORE:** Tatel and Pillard, Circuit Judges; Sentelle, Senior Circuit Judge

### **J U D G M E N T**

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the brief and appendix filed by appellant. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). It is

**ORDERED AND ADJUDGED** that the district court's October 8, 2019 order denying without prejudice appellant's petition for writ of error coram nobis be affirmed. The district court correctly concluded that it lacked jurisdiction to consider appellant's petition, which challenges a judgment of conviction entered by the D.C. Superior Court. See United States v. Denedo, 556 U.S. 904, 913 (2009) (explaining that, to grant a writ of error coram nobis, a court "must have had statutory subject-matter jurisdiction over [the] original judgment of conviction").

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. See Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

### **Per Curiam**

**FOR THE COURT:**

Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY: /s/  
Daniel J. Reidy  
Deputy Clerk