

United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 19-5229

September Term, 2019

1:17-cv-01111-UNA

Filed On: March 17, 2020

Keith B. Webb-El,

Appellant

v.

Thomas R. Kane, Deputy Director, U.S.
Department of Justice Federal Bureau of
Prisons, Central Office, et al.,

Appellees

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

BEFORE: Tatel and Pillard, Circuit Judges; Sentelle, Senior Circuit Judge

J U D G M E N T

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the brief filed by appellant. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). It is

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the district court's denial of leave to file a Rule 60(b) motion be affirmed. Appellant has not shown that the district court abused its discretion in denying leave to file a Rule 60(b) motion more than a year after the merits of his case were decided in a prior appeal. See *Berry v. District of Columbia*, 833 F.2d 1031, 1037 n.24 (D.C. Cir. 1987).

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. See Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

Per Curiam

FOR THE COURT:
Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY: /s/
Daniel J. Reidy
Deputy Clerk