

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 19-7056

September Term, 2019

1:19-cv-01231-UNA

Filed On: January 14, 2020

Wendolyn Lee,

Appellant

v.

Christopher Craft and Amy Weirich,

Appellees

**ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

BEFORE: Srinivasan and Katsas, Circuit Judges, and Sentelle, Senior Circuit Judge

J U D G M E N T

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the brief filed by appellant. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). Upon consideration of the foregoing, the motion for appointment of counsel, the “motion to amend with arguments,” the motion for removal, the motions to amend, and the letters filed by appellant, it is

ORDERED that the motion for appointment of counsel be denied. In civil cases, appellants are not entitled to appointment of counsel when they have not demonstrated sufficient likelihood of success on the merits. It is

FURTHER ORDERED that the motion for removal be denied. It is

FURTHER ORDERED that the “motion to amend with arguments” be granted to the extent appellant seeks to supplement his appellate brief with the additional arguments presented in his motion. It is

FURTHER ORDERED that the remaining motions to amend be denied. It is

FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the district court’s order filed May 8, 2019 be affirmed. Appellant has not shown that the district court abused its discretion in dismissing the case without prejudice on the ground that the complaint

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 19-7056

September Term, 2019

failed to allege facts sufficient to establish the court's subject matter jurisdiction over the case. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a)(1) ("A pleading that states a claim for relief must contain a short and plain statement of the grounds for the court's jurisdiction . . ."); Ciralsky v. CIA, 355 F.3d 661, 668-71 (D.C. Cir. 2004).

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. See Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

Per Curiam

FOR THE COURT:
Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY: /s/
Daniel J. Reidy
Deputy Clerk