

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 19-5155

September Term, 2019

1:19-cv-01111-UNA

Filed On: September 13, 2019

Ricardo Jose Calderon Lopez, doing
business as Starlight Consulting Services,

Appellant

v.

Melissa Blalock and Scott S. Harris,

Appellees

**ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

BEFORE: Henderson and Srinivasan, Circuit Judges, and Sentelle, Senior
Circuit Judge

J U D G M E N T

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the brief filed by the appellant. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). It is

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the district court's April 30, 2019 order be affirmed. Appellant has not identified any error in the district court's decision to sua sponte dismiss the complaint without prejudice for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B); Ashcroft v. Iqbal, 556 U.S. 662, 677-78 (2009). In particular, appellant failed to identify any constitutional rights allegedly violated by the appellees. Furthermore, the appellees, as employees of the Supreme Court Clerk, enjoy absolute immunity from lawsuits for money damages based upon actions taken as part of the judicial process. See Sindram v. Suda, 986 F.2d 1459, 1460-61 (D.C. Cir. 1993) (per curiam).

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 19-5155

September Term, 2019

of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. See Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

Per Curiam

FOR THE COURT:
Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY: /s/
Daniel J. Reidy
Deputy Clerk