

**United States Court of Appeals**  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

---

**No. 19-5071**

**September Term, 2018**

**1:19-cv-00251-TNM**

**Filed On: June 24, 2019**

Michael Charles Pilot, as an individual,  
Citizen in and of the United States, Free man,

Appellant

v.

Donald J. Trump, in his official capacity as  
President of the United States,

Appellee

**ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

**BEFORE:** Millett and Rao, Circuit Judges, and Sentelle, Senior Circuit Judge

**J U D G M E N T**

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the brief and appendix filed by the appellant. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). Upon consideration of the foregoing and the motion to disqualify judges, it is

**ORDERED** that the motion to disqualify be dismissed as moot. Appellant seeks the disqualification of Judges Rogers, Tatel, and Ginsburg, who have taken no part in the disposition of this appeal. It is

**FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED** that the district court's orders filed February 5, 2019 and March 1, 2019 be affirmed. Appellant filed suit against President Donald Trump for various constitutional and statutory violations, which allegedly arose from the fact that three judges of this court did not sign orders entered in appellant's prior appeal. Appellant has failed to demonstrate any error in the district court's dismissal of his complaint for failure to state a claim on which relief may be granted. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2); see also D.C. Cir. Rule 45(c) ("Any order, judgment, or other court-issued document filed electronically without the original signature of a judge or authorized court personnel has the same force and effect as if the judge or clerk had

**United States Court of Appeals**  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

**No. 19-5071**

**September Term, 2018**

signed a paper copy.”). Nor has appellant shown any abuse of discretion in the district court’s denial of his motion for reconsideration. See Armenian Assembly of America, Inc. v. Cafesjian, 758 F.3d 265, 283 (D.C. Cir. 2014).

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. See Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

**Per Curiam**

**FOR THE COURT:**

Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY: /s/

Ken Meadows  
Deputy Clerk