United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 19-5009

September Term, 2018

1:18-cv-01972-UNA

Filed On: April 19, 2019

James F. Johnson,

Appellant

٧.

Director, Court Services and Offender Supervisory Agency (CSOSA), et al.,

Appellees

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

BEFORE: Griffith and Katsas, Circuit Judges, and Sentelle, Senior Circuit

Judge

JUDGMENT

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the brief filed by appellant. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). It is

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the district court's order filed December 31, 2018 be affirmed. In this civil action, appellant challenges the requirement that he register as a sex offender. Previously, the Superior Court of the District of Columbia denied appellant's challenge to the registration requirement. See D.C. Code § 22-4004. The district court properly determined that it lacked jurisdiction to review the Superior Court's decision. See Lance v. Dennis, 546 U.S. 459, 463 (2006) (per curiam) ("[L]ower federal courts are precluded from exercising appellate jurisdiction over final state-court judgments.").

United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 19-5009

September Term, 2018

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. <u>See</u> Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

Per Curiam

FOR THE COURT: Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY: /s/

Ken Meadows Deputy Clerk