

**United States Court of Appeals**  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

---

**No. 18-5275**

**September Term, 2018**

**1:18-cv-01584-UNA**

**Filed On: January 2, 2019**

Aror Ark O'Diah,

Appellant

v.

Western Union, et al.,

Appellees

**ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

**BEFORE:** Pillard and Katsas, Circuit Judges, and Sentelle, Senior Circuit  
Judge

**J U D G M E N T**

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the brief filed by appellant. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). It is

**ORDERED AND ADJUDGED** that the district court's August 20, 2018 order dismissing appellant's complaint without prejudice be affirmed. The district court correctly concluded that appellant's complaint failed to comply with the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8(a). See Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a) (requiring a complaint to contain "a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief").

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution

**United States Court of Appeals**  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

**No. 18-5275**

**September Term, 2018**

of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. See Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

**Per Curiam**

**FOR THE COURT:**  
Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY: /s/  
Ken Meadows  
Deputy Clerk