### United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 18-7019

September Term, 2017

1:17-cv-02437-UNA

**Filed On:** May 23, 2018

Mohsen Khoshmood,

**Appellant** 

٧.

Eastern Market Management,

Appellee

## APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

**BEFORE:** Griffith and Srinivasan, Circuit Judges, and Ginsburg, Senior Circuit

Judge

#### JUDGMENT

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the briefs filed by appellant. <u>See</u> Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). It is

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the district court's order, filed January 4, 2018, be affirmed. The district courts of the United States are "courts of limited jurisdiction. They possess only that power authorized by Constitution and statute." Kokkonen v. Guardian Life Ins. Co. of America, 511 U.S. 375, 377 (1994). The district courts have jurisdiction in "federal question" cases, i.e., civil actions arising under the Constitution, laws, or treaties of the United States, 28 U.S.C. § 1331, and in "diversity" cases, i.e., civil actions between citizens of different states or between United States citizens and foreign citizens or foreign states, provided the matter in controversy exceeds \$75,000, 28 U.S.C. § 1332. In this case, appellant failed to plead facts to establish federal question jurisdiction or diversity jurisdiction.

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution

# United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 18-7019

September Term, 2017

of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. <u>See</u> Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

#### **Per Curiam**

FOR THE COURT: Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY: /s/

Ken Meadows Deputy Clerk