

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 18-5010

September Term, 2017

1:17-cv-01889-UNA

Filed On: May 24, 2018

Charles North,

Appellant

v.

Commissioner of Social Security,

Appellee

**ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

BEFORE: Griffith and Srinivasan, Circuit Judges, and Ginsburg, Senior Circuit Judge

J U D G M E N T

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the brief filed by appellant. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). It is

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the district court's order filed November 17, 2017, be affirmed. The district court did not abuse its discretion in dismissing appellant's amended complaint without prejudice for failure to meet the minimum pleading requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8(a). While the suit was brought against the Social Security Commissioner, the amended complaint did not identify any decision regarding Social Security benefits and, therefore, failed to provide "a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief, in order to give the defendant fair notice of what the . . . claim is and the grounds upon which it rests." Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly, 550 U.S. 544, 555 (2007) (internal quotation marks omitted, ellipsis in original).

United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 18-5010

September Term, 2017

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. See Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

Per Curiam

FOR THE COURT:

Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY: /s/
Ken Meadows
Deputy Clerk