

# United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 17-5188

September Term, 2017

1:17-cv-01185-UNA

Filed On: March 9, 2018

Matthew N.P. Jones,

Appellant

v.

Joseph R. Biden, Jr. and Office of the Vice  
President,

Appellees

## ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

**BEFORE:** Rogers and Griffith, Circuit Judges, and Ginsburg,  
Senior Circuit Judge

### J U D G M E N T

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the brief filed by appellant. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). It is

**ORDERED AND ADJUDGED** that the district court's order filed July 19, 2017, be affirmed. The district court properly determined the complaint's allegations lacked an arguable basis in law or fact. See *Neitzke v. Williams*, 490 U.S. 319, 325-28 (1989).

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. See Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

### Per Curiam

**FOR THE COURT:**  
Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY: /s/  
Ken Meadows  
Deputy Clerk