

United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 17-5201

September Term, 2017

1:17-cv-01337-CRC

Filed On: January 22, 2018

Michael Charles Pilot,

Appellant

v.

Colleen Kollar-Kotelly, Personally and
Individual; USDJ, et al.,

Appellees

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

BEFORE: Rogers and Tatel, Circuit Judges, and Ginsburg, Senior Circuit
Judge

J U D G M E N T

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on appellant's brief. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). It is

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the district court's orders filed July 18, 2017, and August 9, 2017 be affirmed. Appellant has failed to demonstrate any error in the district court's dismissal of his complaint on the grounds that it was frivolous, failed to state a claim, and sought damages against an immune defendant. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2). Nor has he shown any abuse of discretion in the district court's denial of his motion for reconsideration. See Firestone v. Firestone, 76 F.3d 1205, 1208 (D.C. Cir. 1996).

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. See Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

Per Curiam

FOR THE COURT:
Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY: /s/
Ken Meadows
Deputy Clerk