United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 17-5170

September Term, 2017

1:17-cv-00966-UNA

Filed On: November 20, 2017

Aror Ark O'Diah,

Appellant

V.

Richard Cordray, Director of the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, et al.,

Appellees

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

BEFORE: Kavanaugh and Millett, Circuit Judges, and Ginsburg, Senior Circuit

Judge

JUDGMENT

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the brief filed by appellant. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). Upon consideration of the foregoing and the petition for judicial intervention, it is

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the district court's June 23, 2017 order be affirmed. The district court did not abuse its discretion by dismissing appellant's case without prejudice on the ground that the complaint did not meet the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8(a). See Ciralsky v. CIA, 355 F.3d 661, 668-71 (D.C. Cir. 2004). Appellant's complaint did not contain a short and plain statement of the claim showing that he is entitled to relief. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a). It is

FURTHER ORDERED that the petition for judicial intervention be denied. Appellant has shown no basis for granting the requested relief.

United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 17-5170

September Term, 2017

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. <u>See</u> Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

Per Curiam

FOR THE COURT: Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY: /s/

Ken Meadows Deputy Clerk