# United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

### No. 17-5137

## September Term, 2017

1:17-cv-00778-UNA

Filed On: October 18, 2017

Ruth Patricia Rosados,

Appellant

v.

Barack Hussein Obama, Ex-President,

Appellee

#### ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

**BEFORE:** Tatel and Griffith, Circuit Judges, and Ginsburg, Senior Circuit Judge

#### <u>JUDGMENT</u>

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the brief filed by appellant. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). It is

**ORDERED AND ADJUDGED** that the district court's order, filed April 27, 2017, be affirmed. The district court properly dismissed appellant's complaint as frivolous under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B). <u>See, e.g., Denton v. Hernandez</u>, 504 U.S. 25, 32-33 (1992); <u>Neitzke v. Williams</u>, 490 U.S. 319, 327 (1989). Because the allegations in the complaint are "'patently insubstantial,' presenting no federal question suitable for decision," the district court correctly determined it lacked subject matter jurisdiction. <u>Tooley v. Napolitano</u>, 586 F.3d 1006, 1009 (D.C. Cir. 2009) (quoting <u>Best v. Kelly</u>, 39 F.3d 328, 330 (D.C. Cir. 1994)).

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution

# United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

## No. 17-5137

## September Term, 2017

of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. <u>See</u> Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

## Per Curiam

FOR THE COURT: Mark J. Langer, Clerk

By: /s/

Ken Meadows Deputy Clerk