

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 17-7017

September Term, 2016

1:16-cv-00569-JEB

Filed On: June 21, 2017

Gerald Henneghan and T.H., by his Father
Gerald Henneghan,

Appellants

v.

Muriel Bowser, Mayor of the District of
Columbia, et al.,

Appellees

Consolidated with 17-7020

**ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

BEFORE: Griffith and Pillard, Circuit Judges,
and Ginsburg, Senior Circuit Judge

J U D G M E N T

These appeals were considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the brief and appendix filed by appellants. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). It is

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the district court's orders filed January 10 and January 26, 2017, be affirmed. The district court correctly held that this is not a true habeas action, see, e.g., Lehman v. Lycoming County Children's Services Agency, 458 U.S. 502 (1982), and the court did not err in dismissing appellants' case without prejudice after they failed to comply with the court's order to pay the fee due for a civil action or file a motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis. Appellants forfeit any challenge to the district court's sealing order and its denial of leave to file a motion for recusal and other relief, as they have not addressed those rulings in their brief and appendix. See, e.g., Petit v. United States Department of Education, 675 F.3d 769, 779 (D.C. Cir. 2012).

United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 17-7017

September Term, 2016

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. See Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

Per Curiam

FOR THE COURT:

Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY: /s/

Michael C. McGrail

Deputy Clerk