

United States Court of Appeals  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 17-7015

September Term, 2016

1:17-cv-00012-UNA

Filed On: June 21, 2017

Richard Milbourn,

Appellant

v.

Hillary Rodham Clinton, et al.,

Appellees

**ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

**BEFORE:** Griffith and Pillard, Circuit Judges,  
and Ginsburg, Senior Circuit Judge

**J U D G M E N T**

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the brief filed by appellant. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). Upon consideration of the foregoing and the motion for appointment of counsel, it is

**ORDERED** that the motion for appointment of counsel be denied. In civil cases, appellants are not entitled to appointment of counsel when they have not demonstrated any likelihood of success on the merits. It is

**FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED** that the district court's order filed January 4, 2017, be affirmed. The court properly dismissed appellant's action as frivolous. See, e.g., Denton v. Hernandez, 504 U.S. 25, 32-33 (1992); Neitzke v. Williams, 490 U.S. 319, 327 (1989).

**United States Court of Appeals**  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

**No. 17-7015**

**September Term, 2016**

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. See Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

**Per Curiam**

**FOR THE COURT:**

Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY: /s/  
Michael C. McGrail  
Deputy Clerk