

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 17-5011

September Term, 2016

1:17-cv-00106-RCL

Filed On: May 24, 2017

Sheila McCrea,

Appellant

v.

President of the United States of America, et
al.,

Appellees

**ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

BEFORE: Henderson and Rogers, Circuit Judges, and Ginsburg, Senior
Circuit Judge

J U D G M E N T

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the brief filed by the appellant. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). It is

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the district court's order filed January 19, 2017 be affirmed. By seeking relief on behalf of all citizens of the United States with respect to the 2016 presidential election and other recent political activity, appellant has presented only a "generally available grievance" that does not confer standing. Lujan v. Defenders of Wildlife, 504 U.S. 555, 573 (1992); see id. at 573-74 (A plaintiff – "claiming only harm to his and every citizen's interest in proper application of the Constitution and laws, and seeking relief that no more directly and tangibly benefits him than it does the public at large – does not state an Article III case or controversy."). Because appellant failed to establish standing, the district court properly dismissed the case for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. See, e.g., Susan B. Anthony List v. Driehaus, 134 S. Ct. 2334, 2342 (2014).

United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 17-5011

September Term, 2016

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. See Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

Per Curiam

FOR THE COURT:

Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY: /s/
Ken Meadows
Deputy Clerk