

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 14-5327

September Term, 2014

1:14-cv-00995-RJL

Filed On: August 11, 2015

Christopher Earl Strunk and Harold W. Van
Allen,

Appellants

v.

United States Department of State, et al.,

Appellees

**ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

BEFORE: Rogers, Millett, and Wilkins, Circuit Judges

J U D G M E N T

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and the brief filed by appellants. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). Upon consideration of the foregoing and the motions for judicial notice filed on January 23, February 4, and February 19, 2015, it is

ORDERED that the motion for judicial notice filed on January 23, 2015 be dismissed as moot. Appellant Van Allen asserts that his proffered \$455 filing fee was improperly rejected as insufficient, but he has been granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis on appeal. It is

FURTHER ORDERED that the motions for judicial notice filed on February 4 and February 19, 2015 be denied. Appellants have not shown that the material concerning which they seek judicial notice is relevant to this case. It is

FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the district court's orders filed on June 16 and November 10, 2014 be affirmed. Appellants have not established any error in the district court's rulings in their vague and conclusory brief.

United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 14-5327

September Term, 2014

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. See Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

Per Curiam