

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 14-5232

September Term, 2014

1:14-cv-00902-UNA

Filed On: March 25, 2015

Kevin Razzoli,

Appellant

v.

United States Department of Justice,
Executive Branch, Official and Individual
Capacity, et al.,

Appellees

**ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

BEFORE: Henderson and Pillard, Circuit Judges, and Ginsburg, Senior Circuit
Judge

J U D G M E N T

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the brief filed by appellant. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). Upon consideration of the following, and the motion to compel production of documents, It is

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the district court's orders filed August 22, 2014, and September 11, 2014, be affirmed. Appellant has identified no error in the district court's dismissal without prejudice of his complaint and the denial without prejudice of his motion to reopen the case, where he did not comply with the court's order directing him to submit a certified copy of his trust account statement for the 6-month period immediately preceding the filing of his complaint, as the Prison Litigation Reform Act requires. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(2). It is

FURTHER ORDERED that the motion to compel production of documents be dismissed as moot.

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 14-5232

September Term, 2014

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. See Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

Per Curiam