## United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 14-5198

September Term, 2014

1:14-cv-00875-UNA

Filed On: March 4, 2015

Larbi Semiani,

Appellant

٧.

United States of America, U.S. Government,

Appellee

## ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

**BEFORE:** Griffith and Kavanaugh, Circuit Judges, and Ginsburg, Senior Circuit Judge

## JUDGMENT

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the brief filed by appellant. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). It is

**ORDERED AND ADJUDGED** that the district court's order filed May 19, 2014 be affirmed. The district court did not abuse its discretion in dismissing appellant's case without prejudice on the ground the complaint did not meet the requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a). See Ciralsky v. CIA, 355 F.3d 661, 668-71 (D.C. Cir. 2004). That rule requires "a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief," Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a), in order to "give the defendant fair notice of what the ... claim is and the grounds upon which it rests." Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly, 550 U.S. 544, 555 (2007) (citations omitted). The dismissal without prejudice allows appellant to file a new complaint that complies with Rule 8(a). See Ciralsky, 355 F.3d at 669-70.

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. <u>See</u> Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

## Per Curiam