

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 14-5069

September Term, 2013

1:14-cv-00025-UNA

Filed On: August 18, 2014

Frederick H. Grumbley,

Appellant

v.

Clayton R. Higgins,

Appellee

**ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

BEFORE: Rogers and Kavanaugh, Circuit Judges, and Ginsburg, Senior Judge

J U D G M E N T

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the brief filed by appellant. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). It is

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the district court order filed January 3, 2014, be affirmed. The district court has no authority to "compel the [Deputy] Clerk of the Supreme Court to take any action," see In re Marin, 956 F.2d 339, 340 (D.C. Cir. 1992) (per curiam), and the Deputy Clerk enjoys absolute immunity for actions taken as part of the judicial function. See, e.g., Sindram v. Suda, 986 F.2d 1459, 1460-61 (D.C. Cir. 1992) (per curiam).

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. See Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

Per Curiam