United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 14-5054

September Term, 2013

1:14-cv-00225-UNA

Filed On: July 29, 2014

Brad Kempo,

Appellant

٧.

United States of America, Appellee

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

BEFORE: Brown and Kavanaugh, Circuit Judges, and Ginsburg, Senior Circuit Judge

JUDGMENT

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the brief filed by appellant. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). It is

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the district court's order filed February 18, 2014, be affirmed. The district court properly dismissed the complaint for lack of subject matter jurisdiction because it is "patently insubstantial, presenting no federal question suitable for decision." <u>Tooley v. Napolitano</u>, 586 F.3d 1006, 1009 (D.C. Cir. 2009) (quoting <u>Best v. Kelly</u>, 39 F.3d 328, 330 (D.C. Cir. 1994)).

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. <u>See</u> Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

Per Curiam