

**United States Court of Appeals**  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

---

**No. 14-5022**

**September Term, 2013**

**1:13-cv-01891-UNA**

**Filed On: July 31, 2014**

Daniel Eric Cobble,  
Appellant

v.

Patrick R. Donahoe, United States Postmaster  
General; and his predecessor and protege,  
Appellee

**ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

**BEFORE:** Rogers and Brown, Circuit Judges, and Ginsburg, Senior Circuit  
Judge

**J U D G M E N T**

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the brief filed by appellant. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). It is

**ORDERED AND ADJUDGED** that the district court's order filed December 2, 2013, be affirmed. Appellant's failure to present arguments that the district court's dismissal of his complaint was erroneous constitutes forfeiture of any such arguments. See Doe v. District of Columbia, 93 F.3d 861, 875 n.14 (D.C. Cir. 1996).

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. See Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

**Per Curiam**