United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 11-7065

September Term 2011

1:11-cv-00733-UNA

Filed On: February 27, 2012

Glenn Lee Selden,

Appellant

٧.

Terryn H. Bennett, State's Attorney, et al.,

Appellees

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

BEFORE: Sentelle, Chief Judge, and Henderson and Tatel, Circuit Judges

JUDGMENT

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the brief filed by appellant. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). It is

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the district court's order filed June 1, 2011, be affirmed. The district court did not abuse its discretion in dismissing the complaint for failure to meet the minimal pleading requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a). See Ciralsky v. CIA, 355 F.3d 662, 669 (D.C. Cir. 2004); see also Ashcroft v. Iqbal, 556 U.S. 663, 129 S. Ct. 1937, 1949 (2009).

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. <u>See</u> Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

Per Curiam