United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 11-5097

September Term 2011

1:11-cv-00413-UNA

Filed On: November 2, 2011

Vicky Ree Summersill Cannoy,

Appellant

٧.

United States Department of Justice, Radiation Exposure Compensation Division,

Appellee

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

BEFORE: Sentelle, Chief Judge, Kavanaugh, Circuit Judge, and Ginsburg,

Senior Circuit Judge

JUDGMENT

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the brief filed by the appellant. <u>See</u> Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). It is

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the district court's order filed February 22, 2011, be affirmed. The district court did not abuse its discretion in dismissing appellant's complaint without prejudice for failure to comply with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8(a), which requires "a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief." See Ciralsky v. CIA, 355 F.3d 661, 668-71 (D.C. Cir. 2004).

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. <u>See</u> Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

Per Curiam

FOR THE COURT: Mark J. Langer, Clerk

By: /s/

Jennifer M. Clark Deputy Clerk