## United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 10-7058

### September Term 2010

1:06-cv-00480-PLF

Filed On: April 1, 2011

Robert R. Prunte,

Appellant

٧.

Universal Music Group, Inc., et al.,

**Appellees** 

# ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

BEFORE: Tatel, Garland, and Kavanaugh, Circuit Judges

#### JUDGMENT

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the briefs filed by the parties. <u>See</u> Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). It is

**ORDERED AND ADJUDGED** that the district court's judgment be affirmed. The district court correctly determined that the lyrics of the allegedly infringing songs were not substantially similar to protectible elements of appellant's works. <u>See Sturdza v. United Arab Emirates</u>, 281 F.3d 1287, 1295 (D.C. Cir. 2002).

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. <u>See</u> Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

### Per Curiam