

United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 10-7150

September Term 2010

1:10-cv-01837-UNA

Filed On: January 3, 2011

Antonio Colbert,

Appellant

v.

Washington Legal Clinic For The Homeless,
The,

Appellee

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

BEFORE: Sentelle, Chief Judge, and Ginsburg and Brown, Circuit Judges

J U D G M E N T

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the brief filed by the appellant. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). It is

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the district court's order entered November 1, 2010, be affirmed. The district court did not abuse its discretion in dismissing the appellant's complaint without prejudice for failure to comply with Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a), which requires "a short and plain statement of the claim" showing that the pleader is entitled to relief. See *Ciralsky v. CIA*, 355 F.3d 661, 668-71 (D.C. Cir. 2004).

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. See Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

Per Curiam