

United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 09-1293

September Term 2010

SEC-3-12626

Filed On: December 30, 2010

Jeffrey K. Robles,

Petitioner

v.

Securities and Exchange Commission,

Respondent

ON PETITION FOR REVIEW OF AN ORDER OF THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

BEFORE: Henderson, Garland, and Brown, Circuit Judges

J U D G M E N T

This petition for review of an order of the Securities and Exchange Commission was considered on the briefs and appendices filed by the parties. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). It is

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the petition for review be denied. The Commission's order imposing remedial sanctions on petitioner was not arbitrary, capricious, an abuse of discretion, or otherwise not in accordance with the law. See Dearlove v. SEC, 573 F.3d 801, 804 (D.C. Cir. 2009), citing 5 U.S.C. § 706(2)(A), and Nat'l Rural Elec. Coop. Ass'n v. SEC, 276 F.3d 609, 614 (D.C. Cir. 2002). Moreover, the Commission's factual findings were well-supported by substantial evidence. See Dearlove, 573 F.3d at 804, citing 15 U.S.C. § 78y(a)(4), and Steadman v. SEC, 450 U.S. 91, 96 n.12 (1981).

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. See Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

Per Curiam