

United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 10-5129

September Term 2009

1:10-cv-00577-UNA

Filed On: August 24, 2010

Nathaniel J. Richardson,

Appellant

v.

William K. Suter, Clerk of Court, Sued in his
Individual Capacity, et al.,

Appellees

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

BEFORE: Sentelle, Chief Judge, and Garland and Kavanaugh,
Circuit Judges

J U D G M E N T

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the brief filed by appellant. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). It is

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the district court's order filed April 12, 2010, be affirmed in light of the immunity and other considerations cited by the district court, see, e.g., Sindram v. Suda, 986 F.2d 1459 (D.C. Cir. 1993); In re Marin, 956 F.2d 339 (D.C. Cir. 1992) (per curiam), and because appellant's claim of a racially-motivated conspiracy is frivolous.

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. See Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

Per Curiam