

# United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 10-5128

September Term 2009

1:10-cv-00565-UNA

Filed On: August 23, 2010

Charles Alpine,

Appellant

v.

Barack Obama, President of the United States  
and William K. Suter, Clerk of the U.S.  
Supreme Court,

Appellees

## ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

**BEFORE:** Sentelle, Chief Judge, and Garland and Kavanaugh,  
Circuit Judges

### **J U D G M E N T**

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the brief and amended brief filed by appellant. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). It is

**ORDERED AND ADJUDGED** that the district court's order filed April 8, 2010, be affirmed. The district court correctly held that it lacks authority to determine what, if any, actions must be taken by Supreme Court Justices and employees and that they enjoy absolute immunity in the circumstances presented here. See, e.g., Stump v. Sparkman, 435 U.S. 349 (1978); Sindram v. Suda, 986 F.2d 1459 (D.C. Cir. 1993); In re Marin, 956 F.2d 339 (D.C. Cir. 1992) (per curiam). Furthermore, the President of the United States had no role in the actions complained of.

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. See Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

**Per Curiam**