

United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 09-7038

September Term 2009

1:09-cv-00338-UNA

Filed On: June 23, 2010

Ronald Anderson,

Appellant

v.

Ann Meister, Register of Wills and Chris Sinks,
Deputy Register of Wills,

Appellees

**ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

BEFORE: Rogers, Garland, and Brown, Circuit Judges

J U D G M E N T

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the brief filed by appellant. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). It is

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the district court's orders entered February 20, 2009 and March 17, 2009 be affirmed. Under the Rooker-Feldman doctrine, "a United States District Court has no authority to review final judgments of a state court in judicial proceedings." District of Columbia Court of Appeals v. Feldman, 460 U.S. 462, 482 (1983). The decision of the District of Columbia Court of Appeals denying appellant's petition for writ of mandamus bars his claim in this case as stated in either his original complaint or amended complaint. Therefore, dismissal of appellant's complaint for lack of subject matter jurisdiction was proper, see Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(h)(3), and the district court did not abuse its discretion in denying Appellant's motion under Fed. R. Civ. P. 59(e) to alter or amend the judgment because amendment of his complaint would have been futile. See Firestone v. Firestone, 76 F.3d 1205, 1208 (D.C. Cir. 1996).

United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 09-7038

September Term 2009

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. See Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

Per Curiam