

**United States Court of Appeals**  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

---

**No. 10-7004**

**September Term 2009**

**1:09-cv-02448-UNA**

**Filed On: April 16, 2010**

Lawrence Wilder, Sr.,

Appellant

v.

Warshaw One, LLC, As Owed by, et al.,

Appellees

**ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

**BEFORE:** Sentelle, Chief Judge, and Ginsburg and Griffith, Circuit Judges

**J U D G M E N T**

---

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the brief filed by the appellant. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). It is

**ORDERED AND ADJUDGED** that the district court's order issued December 30, 2009, be affirmed. The district court properly dismissed the appellant's complaint as frivolous. The complaint contains factual allegations that are so implausible as to be "fantastic or delusional." See Nietzke v. Williams, 490 U.S. 319, 328 (1989).

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. See Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

**Per Curiam**