United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 09-5091

September Term 2009

1:09-cv-00079-UNA

Filed On: April 21, 2010

John Vincent Hyland, Jr.,

Appellant

٧.

Barack Obama, et al.,

Appellees

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

BEFORE: Sentelle, Chief Judge, and Ginsburg and Griffith, Circuit Judges

JUDGMENT

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the briefs filed by the appellant. <u>See</u> Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). It is

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the district court's order issued January 14, 2009, be affirmed. The district court properly dismissed the complaint for lack of standing. See Lujan v. Defenders of Wildlife, 504 U.S. 555, 573-74 (1992). The district court may dismiss a prisoner's complaint for lack of standing prior to service of process on the defendants. See Thompson v. Drug Enforcement Administration, 492 F.3d 428, 439 (D.C. Cir. 2007) (concluding that a district court "may use the occasion" of reviewing a complaint pursuant to the Prison Litigation Reform Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1915A, "to dismiss on grounds listed in neither section 1915A nor section 1915(g)," such as lack of standing). Therefore, appellant cannot rely on the defendants' alleged failure to respond to the complaint.

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution

United States Court of Appeals FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

N	Ο.	09	-5	0	91	
---	----	----	----	---	----	--

September Term 2009

of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. <u>See</u> Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

Per Curiam