

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 09-7147

September Term 2009

1:09-cv-02074-UNA

Filed On: February 18, 2010

Jerome Julius Brown,

Appellant

v.

Brennan C. Mccarthy, Associate County
Attorney et SEQ,

Appellee

BEFORE: Sentelle, Chief Judge; and Henderson and Tatel, Circuit Judges

J U D G M E N T

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the brief filed by the appellant. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). It is

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the district court's order filed November 4, 2009, be affirmed. The district court did not abuse its discretion in dismissing appellant's complaint on the ground that it did not meet the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8(a). See *Ciralsky v. CIA*, 355 F.3d 661, 668-71 (D.C. Cir. 2004). That rule requires "a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief," Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a), and appellant's complaint, consisting largely of numerous forms and documents, states no discernible claim or basis for jurisdiction.

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. See Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

Per Curiam