## United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 09-7030

September Term 2008

1:09-cv-00207-UNA

Filed On: May 21, 2009

Samuel Petro Abraham,

**Appellant** 

٧.

Rabbi Moses Heinemann,

Appellee

## ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

**BEFORE:** Sentelle, Chief Judge, and Brown and Griffith, Circuit Judges

## JUDGMENT

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the brief filed by appellant. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). It is

**ORDERED AND ADJUDGED** that the district court's order filed February 4, 2009, be affirmed. The district court did not abuse its discretion in dismissing appellant's complaint without prejudice for noncompliance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a). See, e.g., Ciralsky v. CIA, 355 F.3d 661, 668-69 (D.C. Cir. 2004).

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. <u>See</u> Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

## **Per Curiam**