

United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 08-5212

September Term 2008

1:08-cv-01042

Filed On: February 4, 2009

Juan F. Evans,
Appellant

v.

William K. Suter, et al.,
Appellees

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

BEFORE: Sentelle, Chief Judge, and Henderson and Tatel,
Circuit Judges

J U D G M E N T

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the brief filed by appellant. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). It is

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the district court's order filed June 18, 2008 be affirmed. The court correctly held that the appellees enjoy absolute immunity here, that the court lacked jurisdiction to review the actions of the appellees, and that it lacked authority to order them to take any action. See, e.g., Sindram v. Suda, 986 F.2d 1459, 1460-61 (D.C. Cir. 1993) (per curiam); In re Marin, 956 F.2d 339, 340 (D.C. Cir. 1992) (per curiam); Panko v. Rodak, 606 F.2d 168, 171 & n.6 (7th Cir. 1979), cert. denied, 444 U.S. 1081 (1980). Moreover, appellant's objections to the sua sponte dismissal and his argument that the court should have transferred the case to the Supreme Court are meritless.

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. See Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. 41.

Per Curiam