

# United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

**No. 08-7088**

**September Term 2008**

**1:08-cv-01368-UNA**

**Filed On:** October 29, 2008

Kareemah Bell-Boston,

Appellant

v.

Natosha Dorcey, Harriet Tubman Woman's 12  
Hour Low Barrier Shelter,

Appellee

## **ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

**BEFORE:** Sentelle, Chief Judge, and Randolph and Rogers, Circuit Judges

### **J U D G M E N T**

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the brief and appendix filed by appellant. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). It is

**ORDERED AND ADJUDGED** that the district court's order filed August 6, 2008, be affirmed. The district court correctly dismissed appellant's complaint for lack of subject matter jurisdiction because it is not a civil action arising under federal law, see 28 U.S.C. § 1331, or between citizens of different states with an amount in controversy of more than \$75,000, see 28 U.S.C. § 1332. Nor does the complaint allege any other basis for the district court's jurisdiction. In short, the federal district court properly dismissed the complaint that appellant was assaulted at the Harriet Tubman Woman's Shelter; the court lacked the authority to consider such a complaint.

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. See Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

**Per Curiam**