

# United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 08-5202

September Term 2008

1:08-cv-00804-RJL

Filed On: October 29, 2008

Glenn Prentice, also known as Glenn M.  
Prentice,

Appellant

v.

United States District Court for the Eastern  
District of Michigan, Southern Division, et al.,

Appellees

## ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

**BEFORE:** Sentelle, Chief Judge, and Randolph and Rogers, Circuit Judges

### J U D G M E N T

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the brief and appendix filed by appellant. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). It is

**ORDERED AND ADJUDGED** that the district court's order filed June 3, 2008, be affirmed. Because one district court has no jurisdiction to review the decision of another district court, see Celotex Corp. v. Edwards, 514 U.S. 300, 313 (1995), the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia properly determined it lacked jurisdiction to review action taken by the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan (Southern Division). Moreover, because a challenge to a state court action must proceed through that state's system of appellate review rather than through a federal district court, see Johnson v. De Grandy, 512 U.S. 997, 1006 (1994) (citing District of Columbia Court of Appeals v. Feldman, 460 U.S. 462, 482 (1983); Rooker v. Fidelity Trust Co., 203 U.S. 413, 416 (1923) (Rooker-Feldman doctrine)), the district court properly determined it lacked jurisdiction to review action taken by a Michigan state court. Consequently, the district court had no authority to grant any of the relief requested.

# United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

**No. 08-5202**

**September Term 2008**

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. See Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

**Per Curiam**