

# United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 08-5016

September Term 2008

07cv01843

Filed On: October 7, 2008

James Renwick Manship,

Appellant

v.

Navy Department, et al.,

Appellees

## APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

**BEFORE:** Sentelle, Chief Judge, and Tatel and Kavanaugh, Circuit Judges

### J U D G M E N T

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the brief filed by appellant. It is

**ORDERED AND ADJUDGED** that the district court's order filed October 12, 2007, be affirmed. Appellant has failed to challenge the district court's holding that his claims are barred by the Feres doctrine. See Feres v. United States, 340 U.S. 135, 146 (1950); see also United States v. Stanley, 483 U.S. 669, 684 (1987); Chappell v. Wallace, 462 U.S. 296, 300-05 (1983); Bois v. Marsh, 801 F.2d 462, 469-71 (D.C. Cir. 1986).

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. See Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

Per Curiam