United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 07-1020

September Term, 2007

FILED ON: JANUARY 30, 2008 [1095649]

NEW JERSEY DEPARTMENT OF THE PUBLIC ADVOCATE, DIVISION OF RATE COUNSEL,
PETITIONER

v.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION AND UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

RESPONDENTS

VERIZON COMMUNICATIONS INC., INTERVENOR

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Federal Communications Commission

Before: GINSBURG, Chief Judge, SENTELLE, Circuit Judge, and EDWARDS, Senior Circuit Judge.

JUDGMENT

This petition was considered on the record from the Federal Communications Commission as well as the briefs and oral arguments of counsel. The issues have been accorded full consideration by the Court and occasion no need for a published opinion. *See* D.C. Cir. Rule 36(b). It is

ORDERED and **ADJUDGED** that the petition be denied.

Rate Counsel has standing under Article III to bring this petition. The Order caused injury to New Jersey customers because it granted the LECs the legal authority to charge them higher rates. Vacating the Order, and thereby allowing those customers to challenge the tariff filed by Embarg, would redress that injury. *See Bennett v. Spear*, 520 U.S. 154, 168-69 (1997).

The decision of the FCC permitting the LECs to treat the settlement payouts as

exogenous costs was reasonable. The LECs collected EUCL charges from the IPSPs in reliance upon orders of the FCC. Because the FCC later changed its position and ordered the LECs to refund those charges to the IPSPs, the LECs lost revenue to which they were entitled under the rate cap. Therefore, the FCC reasonably permitted them to recover those charges from end users as exogenous costs. We have considered and rejected each of Rate Counsel's objections.

The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after disposition of any timely petition for rehearing en banc. *See* D.C. Cir. Rule 41(a)(1).

FOR THE COURT: Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY:

Deputy Clerk