

# United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 06-7156

September Term, 2007

05cv01102

Filed On: September 25, 2007

[1068944]

Valerie Kline,  
Appellant

v.

Seth M. Williams, et al.,  
Appellees

## ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

**BEFORE:** Henderson, Griffith, and Kavanaugh,  
Circuit Judges

### J U D G M E N T

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the brief filed by appellant. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). It is

**ORDERED AND ADJUDGED** that the district court's judgment filed August 8, 2006 be affirmed. Appellant has not shown any error in the district court's holding that it lacked personal jurisdiction over the defendants in light of GTE New Media Services, Inc. v. Bellsouth Corp., 199 F.3d 1343, 1349-50 (D.C. Cir. 2000); Tavoulareas v. Comnas, 720 F.2d 192, 194 (D.C. Cir. 1983); and Crane v. Carr, 814 F.2d 758, 762 (D.C. Cir. 1987).

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. See Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

Per Curiam