

**United States Court of Appeals**  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

---

**No. 06-7073**

**September Term, 2006**

**99cv01125**

**Filed On: April 9, 2007** [1033694]

Kingsley Anyanwutaku,  
Appellant

v.

District of Columbia, et al.,  
Appellees

**ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

**BEFORE:** Brown, Griffith, and Kavanaugh, Circuit Judges

**J U D G M E N T**

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the briefs filed by the parties. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). It is

**ORDERED AND ADJUDGED** that the district court's April 18, 2006 order denying appellant's motion to vacate be affirmed. The district court did not abuse its discretion in declining to provide relief under Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b)(1) or (b)(6). See Murray v. District of Columbia, 52 F.3d 353, 355 (D.C. Cir. 1995).

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. See Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

**Per Curiam**