

United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 06-7030

September Term, 2006

05cv00670

Filed On: December 14, 2006

[1010929]

Russell L. Lee,
Appellant

v.

State Compensation Insurance Fund and Michael L.
Rankin, Judge, Superior Court, District of Columbia, as
an Official and as an Individual,
Appellees

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

BEFORE: Randolph, Garland, and Brown, Circuit Judges

J U D G M E N T

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and the briefs filed by the parties. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). It is

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the district court's orders filed December 21, 2005, and February 2, 2006, be affirmed. On appeal, appellant has offered no argument concerning these orders and therefore has waived any objection to them. See Democratic Central Comm. v. Washington Metro. Area Transit Comm'n, 485 F.2d 786, 790 n.16 (D.C. Cir. 1973) (where petitioners "offer no argument whatever" in support of certain points, the court declined to consider those points); see also Doe v. District of Columbia, 93 F.3d 861, 875 n.14 (D.C. Cir. 1996) (appellant waived argument not raised on appeal).

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. See Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

Per Curiam