United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 06-5214

September Term, 2006

06cv01098

Filed On: November 13, 2006

[1003841] Gerald L. Rogers, Appellant

V.

United States District Court for Northern District of Texas - Dallas Division,
Appellee

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

BEFORE: Ginsburg, Chief Judge, and Sentelle and Henderson, Circuit Judges

JUDGMENT

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the brief filed by appellant. <u>See</u> Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). It is

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the district court's order filed June 15, 2006, be affirmed. The district court correctly concluded that appellant's complaint is frivolous. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b)(1). Contrary to appellant's assertions, the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas is an Article III court. See U.S. Const. art. III, § 1 ("The judicial power of the United States, shall be vested in one Supreme Court, and in such inferior courts as the Congress may from time to time ordain and establish."); 28 U.S.C. § 124 (dividing Texas into four judicial districts, including the Northern District); 28 U.S.C. § 132(a) ("There shall be in each judicial district a district court which shall be a court of record known as the United States District Court for the district."); see also 150 Cong. Rec. S6944-01 (June 17, 2004) (confirming Jane Boyle as a United States District Judge for the Northern District of Texas).

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution

United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 06-5214

September Term, 2006

of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. <u>See</u> Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

Per Curiam