United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 05-7116

September Term, 2006

04cv00538 04cv01240

Filed On: November 3, 2006

[1002477]
Samuel H. Mwabira-Simera and John E.
Simera-Nandala,
Appellants

٧.

Sodexho Marriot Management Services, et al., Appellees

Consolidated with 05-7117

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

BEFORE: Rogers, Griffith, and Kavanaugh, Circuit Judges

JUDGMENT

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the briefs filed by the parties. <u>See</u> Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). It is

ordered and Adjudged that the district court's orders filed June 30, 2005 and November 2, 2005 be affirmed. The district court correctly determined that appellant Mwabira-Simera's claims against Sodexho Marriot Management Services and its employees were barred by a settlement agreement. Cf. Francis v. Rodman Local Union 201 Pension Fund, 367 F.3d 937, 940-41 (D.C. Cir. 2004) (holding that claim against pension fund barred by individual settlement agreement); Saksenasingh v. Sec'y of Educ., 126 F.3d 347, 350 (D.C. Cir. 1997) (recognizing that if settlement agreement is not breached, it bars claim). The district court did not abuse its discretion in dismissing appellant Simera-Nandala's claims pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 37. See Founding Church of Scientology of Washington, D.C. v. Webster, 802 F.2d 1448, 1457-59 (D.C. Cir. 1986). The district court also did not abuse its discretion by declining to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over the pendent state law claims. See 28 U.S.C. § 1367(c)(3); Shekoyan v. Sibley Intern., 409 F.3d 414, 423-24 (D.C. Cir. 2005).

United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 05-7116

September Term, 2006

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. <u>See</u> Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

Per Curiam