

United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 05-3147

September Term, 2005

89cr00072-01

Filed On: July 11, 2006 [979514]

United States of America,
Appellee

v.

Michael Wayne Hill,
Appellant

APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

BEFORE: Randolph, Rogers, and Tatel, Circuit Judges

J U D G M E N T

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and the briefs filed by the parties. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. Cir. Rule 34(j). It is

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the district court's order filed June 22, 2005, be affirmed. The district court did not abuse its discretion in denying appellant's petition for a writ of error coram nobis. Jurisdiction concerning appellant's escape charge was proper in the Eastern District of Virginia, see, e.g., United States v. Gaviria, 116 F.3d 1498, 1517 (D.C. Cir. 1997), and appellant waived any challenge to venue. See, e.g., id. at 1517 & n.22.

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. See Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

Per Curiam