

# United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

---

**No. 03-3124**

**September Term, 2005**

FILED ON: MAY 30, 2006 [970647]

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
APPELLEE

v.

CARLOS G. ERAZO ROBLES,  
APPELLANT

---

Consolidated with 03-3125, 03-3133

---

Appeals from the United States District Court  
for the District of Columbia  
(No. 02cr00252-02)  
(No. 02cr00252-05)  
(No. 02cr00252-06)

---

Before: SENTELLE and BROWN, *Circuit Judges*, and EDWARDS, *Senior Circuit Judge*.

## **JUDGMENT**

These appeals were considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the briefs by the parties and oral arguments of counsel. The court has determined that the issues presented occasion no need for an opinion. See D.C. CIR. R. 36(b). It is

**ORDERED AND ADJUDGED** that the District Court's decision is affirmed. Appellants' arguments are foreclosed by our recent decision in *United States v. Delgado-Garcia*, 374 F.3d 1337 (D.C. Cir. 2004). "One three-judge panel . . . does not have the authority to overrule another three-judge panel of the court. That power may be exercised only by the full court . . ." *LaShawn A. v. Barry*, 87 F.3d 1389, 1395 (D.C. Cir. 1996) (en banc) (citations omitted).

**No. 03-3124**  
**Page Two**

Pursuant to Rule 36 of this Court, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after the disposition of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing *en banc*. See FED R. APP. P. 41(b); D.C. CIR. R. 41.

**Per curiam**

**FOR THE COURT:**  
Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY:  
Michael C. McGrail  
Deputy Clerk